

RUBRIC FOR SOTL CONFERENCE PRESENTATION FUNDS APPLICATIONS

| | Higher Priority for Funding | Moderate Priority for Funding | Lower Priority for Funding |
|---|--|--|---|
| Criterion: Good Practices of SoTL (Felten, 2013) | <p>The proposal describes a completed SoTL project that adheres to good practices of SoTL (i.e., systematic and/or reflective inquiry into student learning and/or teaching in higher education which is situated in context and involves methodologically sound application of appropriate research methods). The project is under the oversight of the IRB when appropriate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">20 points</p> | <p>The proposal describes a study that adheres to most good practices of SoTL (i.e., systematic and/or reflective inquiry into student learning and/or teaching in higher education which is situated in context and involves methodologically sound application of appropriate research methods under the oversight of the IRB where appropriate), but the project is not yet complete (e.g., data has not yet been collected or analyzed) or is missing some other key element.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10-15 points</p> | <p>The proposal focuses on teaching and/or learning (e.g., a session on teaching tips, a literature review on an aspect of teaching, etc.) but does not meet the criteria for good practices in SoTL: systematic and/or reflective inquiry into student learning and/or teaching in higher education which is situated in context and involves methodologically sound application of appropriate research methods (including IRB oversight where appropriate).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">5 points</p> |
| Criterion: Authorship | <p>The applicant is the lead author on their session.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">15 points</p> | | <p>The applicant is a collaborating author on their session.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">5 points</p> |
| Criterion: Scope of Conference | <p>According to the conference website, the conference is international in scope, representing a wide, global audience.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">10 points</p> | <p>According to the conference website, the conference is national in scope, representing a wide U.S. audience.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">8 points</p> | <p>According to the conference website, the conference is regional in scope, representing a statewide or regional audience.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">5 points</p> |
| Criterion: Previous Travel Funding | <p>The applicant has not received CETL SoTL travel funding within the last two fiscal years prior to the current round of applications.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">15 points</p> | <p>The applicant has not received CETL SoTL travel funding during the current fiscal year but did receive funding during the previous fiscal year.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">5 points</p> | <p>The applicant has received CETL SoTL travel funding during the current fiscal year.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">0 points</p> |